UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/782,593	02/18/2004	Eric T. Martin	200208787-1	6308
	7590 04/13/200 CKARD COMPANY	9	EXAMINER	
P O BOX 272400, 3404 E. HARMONY ROAD INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY ADMINISTRATION			THOMAS, BRANDI N	
	L PROPERTY ADMINISTRATION 5, CO 80527-2400		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2873	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			04/13/2009	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

JERRY.SHORMA@HP.COM ipa.mail@hp.com jessica.l.fusek@hp.com

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Comments	10/782,593	MARTIN ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	BRANDI N. THOMAS	2873				
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailir earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDONE	lely filed the mailing date of this communication. (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>21 N</u>	November 2008					
· <u> </u>						
7	<i>/</i> —					
,	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1000 O.B. 11, 40	0.0.210.				
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>13-19 and 34</u> is/are pending in the a)⊠ Claim(s) <u>13-19 and 34</u> is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>13-19 and 34</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.					
on the standard of the standar						
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on $2/18/04$ is/are: a) \square a	10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>2/18/04</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ite				

Application/Control Number: 10/782,593 Page 2

Art Unit: 2873

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

1. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the

subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

2. Claim 13 recites the limitation "one of the plurality of electro-mechanical devices" in

lines 7 and 8; claim 15 recites the limitation "one of a plurality of MEMS devices in lines 4 and

6. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

3. Claim 34 disclose the claimed elements "means for selectively setting a reference current

onto a controlled current output that is variable voltage compliant, the controlled current output

coupled to the MEMs device on the basis of the time modulated control signal; means for time

modulating a control signal to represent a desired gap between the fixed plate and the

electrostatically movable plate by a charge which is a function of the controlled current output

and the modulated time; and means for displacing the electrostatically movable plate in response

to the controlled current output" is a means (or step) plus function limitation that invokes 35

U.S.C. 112, sixth paragraph. However, the written description fails to disclose the corresponding

structure, material, or acts for the claimed function.

Applicant is required to:

(a) Amend the claim so that the claim limitation will no longer be a means (or step) plus

function limitation under 35 U.S.C. 112, sixth paragraph; or

(b) Amend the written description of the specification such that it expressly recites what

structure, material, or acts perform the claimed function without introducing any new matter (35

U.S.C. 132(a)).

If applicant is of the opinion that the written description of the specification already implicitly or inherently discloses the corresponding structure, material, or acts so that one of ordinary skill in the art would recognize what structure, material, or acts perform the claimed function, applicant is required to clarify the record by either:

- (a) Amending the written description of the specification such that it expressly recites the corresponding structure, material, or acts for performing the claimed function and clearly links or associates the structure, material, or acts to the claimed function, without introducing any new matter (35 U.S.C. 132(a)); or
- (b) Stating on the record what the corresponding structure, material, or acts, which are implicitly or inherently set forth in the written description of the specification, perform the claimed function. For more information, see 37 CFR 1.75(d) and MPEP §§ 608.01(o) and 2181.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 5. Claims 13-19 and 34 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Eden et al. (6662029 B2).

Regarding claim 13, Eden et al. discloses a method of controlling a gap (50) between at least one fixed plate (10 and 15) and an electrostatically movable plate (30) in a MEMs device (col. 6, lines 63-67), comprising: time modulating a control signal (tuning signal) to a controlled

Art Unit: 2873

current output that is variable voltage (Vt) compliant to represent a desired gap (50) between the fixed plate (10 and 15) and the electrostatically movable plate (30) (col. 10, lines 17-28); selectively routing a charge which is a function of the controlled current output and the modulated time to array elements each including control circuitry and one of the plurality of electro-mechanical devices (col. 9, lines 65-67 and col. 10, lines 1-2 and 17-22); and displacing the electrostatically movable plate (30) in response to the controlled current output (col. 10, lines 26-28).

Regarding claim 14, Eden et al. discloses a method of controlling a gap (50) between at least one fixed plate (10 and 15) and an electrostatically movable plate (30) in a MEMs device (col. 6, lines 63-67), wherein selectively routing a charge comprises selectively mirroring a reference current onto the controlled current output coupled to the MEMs device on the basis of the time modulated control signal (tuning signal) (col. 9, lines 60-65 and col. 10, lines 1-4).

Regarding claim 15, Eden et al. discloses a method of controlling a gap (50) between at least one fixed plate (10 and 15) and an electrostatically movable plate (30) in a MEMs device (col. 6, lines 63-67), wherein selectively mirroring the reference current selectively mirrors the reference current onto a controlled current outputs, each of the plurality of controlled current outputs being coupled to one of a plurality of MEMs devices, and wherein displacing the electrostatically movable plate (30) displaces an electrostatically movable plate (30) in each of the plurality of MEMs devices in response to a corresponding controlled current output (col. 10, lines 17-22 and 26-28).

Art Unit: 2873

Regarding claim 16, Eden et al. discloses a method of controlling a gap (50) between at least one fixed plate (10 and 15) and an electrostatically movable plate (30) in a MEMs device (col. 6, lines 63-67), further comprising: generating the reference current (col. 9, lines 60-65).

Regarding claim 17, Eden et al. discloses a method of controlling a gap (50) between at least one fixed plate (10 and 15) and an electrostatically movable plate (30) in a MEMs device (col. 6, lines 63-67), further comprising: adjusting the reference current to represent the desired gap (50) between the fixed plate (10 and 15) and the electrostatically movable plate (30) (col. 10, lines 17-28).

Regarding claim 18, Eden et al. discloses a method of controlling a gap (50) between at least one fixed plate (10 and 15) and an electrostatically movable plate (30) in a MEMs device (col. 6, lines 63-67), wherein selectively mirroring the reference current onto the controlled current output generates the variable voltage (Vt) compliant controlled current output (col. 10, lines 17-22 and 26-28).

Regarding claim 19, Eden et al. discloses a method of controlling a gap (50) between at least one fixed plate (10 and 15) and an electrostatically movable plate (30) in a MEMs device (col. 6, lines 63-67).

Regarding claim 34, Eden et al. discloses an apparatus for controlling a gap (50) between at least one fixed plate (10 and 15) and an electrostatically movable plate (30) in a MEMs device (col. 6, lines 63-67), comprising: means for selectively setting a reference current onto a controlled current output that is variable voltage compliant, the controlled current output coupled to the MEMs device on the basis of the time modulated control signal (col. 9, lines 60-65 and col. 10, lines 1-4); means for time modulating a control signal to represent a desired gap (50)

between the fixed plate (10 and 15) and the electrostatically movable plate (30) by a charge which is a function of the controlled current output and the modulated time (col. 10, lines 17-22); and means for displacing the electrostatically movable plate in response to the controlled current output (col. 10, lines 26-28).

Response to Arguments

6. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 13-19 and 34 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to BRANDI N. THOMAS whose telephone number is (571)272-2341. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Thursday from 6-4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ricky Mack can be reached on 571-272-2333. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/782,593 Page 7

Art Unit: 2873

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would

like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated

information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Brandi N Thomas/ Examiner

Art Unit 2873

BNT

April 2, 2009

/Ricky L. Mack/

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2873